

Ethical Code

Responsibilities of the Editorial Committee

- The Editorial Committee should publish the description of the peer-review processes in order to allow authors to know the evaluation criteria. The Editorial Board will always be willing to justify in writing any controversy in the evaluation process (among either authors, editors or readers).

Responsibilities of the Editor

- The Editor should be accountable for everything published in the journal. He or she should strive to meet the needs of readers and authors; to constantly improve the journal; to ensure the quality of the material published; to advance academic and scientific standards. Furthermore, the Editor should always be willing to publish corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed.
- The Editor's decisions to accept or reject a paper for publication should be based solely on the paper's importance, originality, clarity and relevance for the journal. Diánoia only publishes original contributions in Spanish covering all philosophical branches and orientations.
- The Editor should be committed to ensure confidentiality during the whole evaluation process and cannot reveal the identity of the authors to the reviewers, nor the identity of the reviewers at any time. This is, for Diánoia, the meaning of "blind review".
- The Editor should be responsible for deciding which papers might be accepted in the journal and the Editorial Committee will make the final decision on the articles to be published.
- The Editor should be responsible for properly informing the author about the editorial process in which the author's text is involved, as well as the resolutions taken by the Editorial Committee.
- The Editor should always evaluate the submitted manuscripts without regard to the race, gender, sexual orientation, religious beliefs, ethnic origin, nationality, or political ideology of the authors.

- Neither the Editor nor any editorial board member should disclose information about a text sent by any author other than to the corresponding author or reviewers.
- No unpublished material can be used by the Editor for personal research purposes without the express written consent of the author. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review shall be confidential and cannot be used for personal advantage by the Editor. The Editor will always make fair, unbiased decisions and ensure an appropriate and timely peer review process.

Responsibilities of authors

- The submission of a manuscript to Diánoia presupposes the acceptance by the author of the evaluation criteria published by the journal. Authors must ensure that their manuscripts are products of their original work and that all of the information contained in them has been obtained ethically. Authors must ensure that their submissions have not been previously published and are not being considered for publication in another journal or book. A manuscript will be considered as a previously published work when any of the following situations occur:
 1. When the full text has already been published.
 2. When large fragments of previously published materials are part of the text sent to the journal.
 3. When the content of the work submitted to the journal has been published in editorial memories.
 4. The above criteria apply to previous publications either in printed or electronic format.
- In order to publish their work, authors should strictly follow the standards defined by the Editorial Committee.
- Authors must submit to Diánoia an original manuscript of their work omitting any personal information (name, address, contact information, etc.) as well as any bibliographical reference in which the author's name appears.
- Reviewers should present a precise description of the work performed, as well as an objective discussion of its significance of the work reviewed. Underlying data should be

represented accurately in the article. A paper should contain sufficient detail and references to allow peer reviewers to evaluate the work properly. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and cannot be accepted under any circumstances.

- Authors must ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if they have used the work and/or words of others this must be properly cited. Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable. Consequently, any manuscript that incurs plagiarism will be removed and not considered for publication in *Diánoia*.
- *Diánoia* is committed to publishing only original products of primary research. An author should not submit manuscripts to *Diánoia* describing —under different titles, structure or presentation— essentially the same research previously published in another journal or primary publication.
- All relevant sources must be properly cited. Information obtained privately, as in conversation, correspondence or discussions, should not be used without express written permission of the source.
- Authorship should be limited to only those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution or interpretation of the work. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Authors must ensure that all co-authors are included in the article, and that they have all seen and approved the final version of the document and agreed submission for publication.
- Authors must mention in their manuscript any financial or interest conflict that could have any influence in the results or interpretation of their work. All sources of financial support for the research project should be made explicit in the manuscript.
- When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in the submitted or published text it is his or her obligation to immediately notify this to the Editor of the journal or the Editorial Board, and to cooperate with the Editor to correct the paper.

Responsibilities of Reviewers

- Reviewers should be willing to notify the Editor about any unethical conduct of the authors and about any information that may constitute a reason for rejecting a manuscript. They must also be committed to keeping confidential all personal



information contained in the works under review.

- Reviewers should have the journal's guidelines for evaluating manuscripts. The Editor should provide those guidelines.
- Selected reviewers must notify as soon as possible to the Editor if they are available to review a manuscript.
- A manuscript received for review is a confidential document. Its contents can only be discussed with the explicit authorization of the Editorial Committee of Diánoia.
- Reviewers should submit fair and unbiased evaluations. Any personal attack in a review is inappropriate. Reviewers should be willing to justify their opinions and to explain, elaborate or, if necessary, rectify their reviews.
- Any inside information obtained through peer review is confidential and cannot be used for personal advantage by any member of the Editorial Board.
- Reviewers should avoid evaluating a manuscript that, due to its content, may represent a conflict of interest for them.